Ultra small mode volume defect cavities in spatially ordered and disordered metamaterials

Nadège Kaina, Fabrice Lemoult, Mathias Fink, Geoffroy Lerosey¹ Institut Langevin, ESPCI ParisTech & CNRS UMR 7587, 1 rue Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France^{a)}

(Dated: 19 March 2013)

In this letter we study metamaterials made out of resonant electric wires arranged on a spatial scale much smaller than the free space wavelength and we show that they present a hybridization band that is insensible to positional disorder. We experimentally demonstrate defect cavities in disordered and ordered samples and prove that, analogous to those designed in photonic crystals, those cavities can present very high quality factors. In addition we show that they display mode volumes much smaller than a wavelength cube, owing to the deep subwavelength nature of the unit cell. We underline that this type of structure can be shrunk down to a period close of a few skin depth. Our approach paves the way towards the confinement and manipulation of waves at deep subwavelength scales in both ordered and disordered metamaterials.

Photonic crystals (PC) are periodic composite materials typically scaled at the wavelength which exhibit bandgaps due to their translational symmetries¹⁻⁷. As these bandgaps result from interferences between distinct paths followed by a propagating wave, local modifications of the medium does not affect its global properties and can create defect cavities in which the waves are trapped⁸. Conversely metamaterials, which are organized at a scale much smaller than the wavelength, are usually studied under an effective medium approach and designed to obtain macroscopic effective properties such as negative indices 9^{-13} . In a recent paper however¹⁴, we have shown that it is possible to merge the advantages of metamaterials and PC, hence allowing the control of waves on a deep subwavelength scale, using locally resonant media. We have proved that they present a so called hybridization bandgap^{15–18} which can be explained by the far field coupling between the resonance of the unit cells and the incoming plane waves. This has allowed us to demonstrate waveguides, cavities, benders or splitters, of dimensions very small compared to the wavelength.

In this letter we go beyond this proof of principle work. We experimentally study locally resonant metamaterials in the microwave domain and we exploit the hybridization bandgap that they present. We prove that the latter is due to the resonant nature of their constitutive unit cell rather than to symmetries by characterizing both spatially ordered and disordered metamaterials. Then we demonstrate that a local modification of the medium permits to create ultra small mode volume defect cavities in both ordered and disordered samples. We show that those cavities exhibit at the same time high quality factors, around half a thousand, and ultra low volume modes, less than $\lambda^3/10000$. This results in extremely high Purcell factors. We underline that interestingly, shrinking the medium in its transverse dimension decreases at the same time the losses and the mode volume of the cavity, which results in never achieved Purcell factors¹⁹. We finally discuss the limits of the idea and notably its transposition to higher frequency parts of the spectrum.



FIG. 1. Experimental samples: a periodic (a) and a spatially random (c) wire medium. (b-d) Measure of the hybridization bandgap of both samples. (e) schemed top view of the samples under study, showing the spatial distribution of wires. (f) Point defect in the hybridization bandgap for the periodic (left) and spatially random (right) sample. This point defect consists in introducing a shorter wire with a resonant frequency f_1 within the bandgap of the metamaterial.

In order to study experimentally the proposed concepts, we use a very academic subwavelength resonator, that is, a resonant metallic wire. Since it resonates along its long dimension, which we arbitrarly align with the Oz direction, the latter can be of deep subwavelength size in the xOy transverse plane. In fact, the diameter of such a wire can even be decreased down to a few skin depths. Because we realize our samples manually, we opt for wires of length L = 7 cm, which corresponds to a resonance around $f_0 = 2.15$ GHz, and of diameter d = 0.5 mm. We start by measuring the properties of a peri-

a) geoffroy.lerosey@espci.fr

odic medium made out of 19×19 wires separated by a period a = 2 mm (corresponding to $\lambda_0/70$) maintained by a Teflon structure, and those of a randomly positioned one which contains the same average density of resonators (Fig 1.a and 1.c). In order to study the hybridization bandgap that displays those media, we place azimuthally in the far field a vertically polarized antenna that is connected to one port of a network analyzer (Agilent N5230C PNA). We connect the second port to a handmade probe, a very electrically short monopole. This probe is placed in the near field of the arrays of wires, and is mainly sensitive to the vertical polarization of the electric field.

We measure the transmission coefficient between the two ports S_{12} . Because the monopole is very short, it is inefficient and reactive. This has two consequences: first, it is mainly sensitive to the evanescent field in the samples, and second, the transmission coefficient has to be understood as a relative measurement of the transmission. The corresponding S_{12} are plotted as a function of the frequency in Figures 1.b and 1.d. We know from our experiments of subwavelength focusing from the far field that such media, due to the resonant nature and the finite size of the medium of interest, support deep subwavelength modes that convert efficiently to the far field 20-23. Those modes are observable in the obtained curves as resonant peaks. We stress here that the random medium of Figure 1.c also supports those collective modes, although these contain a distribution of wavevectors as opposed to a single one for the periodic medium. We have explained those modes using a Bloch formalism through rigorous calculations of the Maxwell's equations²². We have also adopted a more phenomenological formalism based on the idea of a hybridization between the continuum of plane waves propagating in the medium and local oscillators, which are interfering either in phase $(f < f_0, \text{ modes are allowed})$ or in anti-phase $(f > f_0, f_0)$ the hybridization bandgap is created)¹⁴. Indeed, the curves of the transmission coefficient between the two ports of the network analyzer show a wide dip that starts around the frequency of 2 GHz (Figs. 1.b and 1.d). It is clear that this bandgap exists for both the periodic medium and the random medium, meaning that it is not due to the periodicity or the density of the structures, but rather to the resonant nature of their unit cells. It is to be reminded that we only consider the effect of positionnal disorder²⁴ and there is no near field coupling between the wires²⁵.

Following the proposals of our recent paper¹⁴, our aim is now to demonstrate cavities in the investigated media. Evidently, since the structure of most metamaterials and in particular those studied here is deeply subwavelength, removing one unit cell (as implemented in PC) does not have much consequences, since within one period of the medium, no state can exist. However, a defect is simply created by detuning the resonant freqency of a single unit cell so that it falls in the bandgap created by the other wires. To that aim, we just tune the length of a single wire to a length $L' = \alpha L$, where $\alpha < 1$ is correctly chosen. Thus, we shift its resonance frequency upward of a factor $1/\alpha$. We stress here that the existence of the defect cavity can be understood, despite the deep subwavelength organization of the crystal, only because the hybridization bandgap results from interference effects only¹⁴. Indeed, near field interactions between unit cells would result in spatially extended states rather than point defect states. Moreover, we point out that embracing an effective medium approach, adding a defect would solely result in a reduced response of the system, which would hide the interesting phenomena studied here.



FIG. 2. (a) Measure of S_{11} in the near field of the wire medium with or without the point defect in the periodic (left) and spatially random (right) sample. (b) Experimental measure of the confinement around the point defect in the periodic (left) and spatially random (center and right) sample.

We now test experimentally this concept using the fabricated samples. To do so, we first acquire with the network analyzer the reflection coefficient S_{11} of the small monopole when it is placed in the near field of a chosen wire of length L. This is realized for the two samples at frequencies ranging from 2 GHz to 2.2 GHz, and the result is plotted in blue in Figure 2.a for the periodic medium (right) and for the random medium (left). Below the frequency of 2.06 GHz, we can see dips in the reflection coefficient, meaning that some energy has been transmitted from the probe to the sample, or equivalently that the otherwise very inefficient monopole has been impedance matched by the medium due to the Purcell effect. These dips correspond to the higher frequency subwavelength modes supported by the samples. Now we replace the chosen wire by a shorter one. The experiment is realized in both the periodic and the random media, for wire lengths that span the interval 65 mm to 70 mm, with a step of 0.5 mm. We obtained consistent results for all measurement and here we present those of the wire of length L' = 67 mm, that is, one 3 mm shorter than those of the metamaterial. We plot in green curves the reflection coefficients obtained for the ordered and disordered samples in Figure 2.a. Clearly another dip has appeared for the two studied samples, and the latter corresponds to the defect created in the media, since it appears only after detuning the wire. Because the measured $|S_{11}|$ can be understood as an estimation of the coupling between the probe and the medium, we conclude that at the resonance frequency of the shortened wire, some energy is transmitted to the defect state. We have consequently created a cavity in each sample for which we measure a quality factor around Q = 550, which is more than two orders of magnitude higher than a single wire in vacuum. It is limited by the ohmic losses. Here, we point out that our defect states are not to be confused with disorder induced defects²⁶ since there is no near field couplind and since the medium typical spatial scale is much smaller than the wavelength, which preclude any such state to exist.

Of equal interest is the spatial extension of the cavity created by the defect. In order to obtain its estimation for a given modified wire of length L', we use a 2D translation stage that permit us to scan the whole surface of the bottom of our samples. For each position, we measure the S_{11} of the probe, meaning the coupling with the medium, at the resonance frequency of the defect. When the probe is located outside of the cavity, the reflection coefficient remains very close to one, while it decreases consequently above the defect cavity. The value of the S_{11} at the resonance frequency of the defect state for each position in the near field of the sample gives hence an estimation of the spatial extension of the created cavity. In order to represent it graphically, and since the value of the reflection coefficient still has no exact meaning, we calculate the value $|1-S_{11}|$ as a function of the position in the xOy plane. We map it for one position in the periodic medium and two positions in the random one (Fig.2b).



FIG. 3. Profile of the $|1 - S_{11}|$ in logarithmic scale for two defect frequencies in the periodic array (a) and two positions in the random array (b). In inset are shown the corresponding linear profiles

From Figure 2, it appears that the cavity width roughly measures one period of the medium in the transverse dimensions, that is, around $\lambda/70$ at its resonance frequency. This typical size can vary in the random medium, since the distance between neighbors changes with the position in the medium, but it remains of the same order. Given the fact that the mode in the cavity is transverse electromagnetic, the energy density is constant along the O_z axis. This indicates that the total mode volume of the cavity is of the order of $(\lambda/70)^2$. $(\lambda/2)$, that is around $\lambda^3/10000$. Indeed, not only is the period of such media much smaller than that of conventional photonic or phononic crystals, but their attenuation distance is also much smaller in the bandgaps (Fig.1 b-d). To highlight this effect, we represent the profile of the $|1 - S_{11}|$ along one dimension of the xOy plane for both defects in the periodic and random media. From figure 3, we measure attenuation coefficients of the order of 25dB per period in the periodic crystal as well as in the random medium. In the latter, this coefficient slightly depends on the position of the defect and on which transverse dimension we measure the profile, since it changes the distance to the first neighboring wires.

Naturally, the spatial extension of the field in the defect cavity has to be compared to that created around a resonant wire alone, since the latter already confines the electromagnetic field onto subwavelength dimensions. We have estimated that the mode volume of such a resonator is 5 to 6 times larger than when it is placed in the hybridization bandgap material. This ratio is not huge, but we have already proven that the quality factor of the cavity has been increased in the metamaterial by a factor larger than a hundred. Overall, this results in a drastic improvement of the Purcell factor of the cavity by a factor close to a thousand. Furthermore, as we will demonstrate in the last part of the paper, one can extremely decrease the mode volume of this cavity by simply shrinking accordingly the diameter of the wires and the period of the medium.



FIG. 4. Evolution of (b) the Q factor (blue) and mode volume V_m (red), and (c) the Purcell factor when reducing the lattice parameter a (and consequently the wire's radius)

Because we could not easily fabricate denser samples using

thinner wires, we present theoretical estimations of structures similar to the measured periodic one, albeit with varying periods and diameters of wires. We fix the ratio between the diameter of the wires and their period to 4, as in our experimental ordered sample. We evaluate the consequences of reducing the diameter of a wire from 0.5 mm down to a few skin depths (Fig 4.a). First, we can estimate the resonance Q factor of such a cavity. As stated before, the hybridization bandgap is really efficient and forbids any radiation loss, thus the cavity damping is given by losses only. Those are ohmic losses in metals and they result from a penetration of the fields in the defect wire and its direct neighbors. For each of them, the volume where the field penetrates can be approximated as a skin depth wide ring of height L. Hence we easily estimate that the amount of energy dissipated per cycle decreases linearly with respect to the wires' radius since the ring of field penetration decreases accordingly. While shrinking the dimensions, we can therefore write $Q(r)/Q(r_0) = r_0/r$, r_0 and Q_0 being respectively the initial radius and quality factor. Second, the mode volume displays a quadratic evolution with respect to the lattice constant (Fig 4.b). Indeed, the field is confined around the defect in the $S = (2a)^2$ area formed by its nearest neighbors. Thus the mode volume is estimated to be $V_m = \lambda/2S = 2\lambda a^2 = 36\lambda r^2$, which increases quadratically with the wires' radius since we chose to linearly vary it with the lattice parameter.

Hence, very strikingly, reducing the wires' radius and the period of the medium results at the same time in higher Q factors and lower mode volumes which is a very unusual behavior for cavities. Indeed, usually one has to choose between high Q factors²⁷ or low mode volumes²⁸. Here, the Purcell factor increases as $1/r^3$, solely limited by the skin depth in copper (Fig 4.c).

In summary, we have demonstrated ultra small mode volume defect cavities in deep subwavelength periodic and random metamaterials made out of resonant electric wires. This proves that the hybridization bandgap of these metamaterials does not rely on spatial order. We experimentally obtained cavities presenting quality factors around 500 and mode volumes of about $\lambda^3/10000$. We underlined that these structures can be shrunk in the transverse dimensions down to an area of some skin depth squared, hence providing at the same time incredibly small mode volumes and high quality factors owing to a mitigation of ohmic losses. This results in enormous Purcell factors in the microwave domain. Similar results are expected in the THz range and the deep IR, since in those range noble metals still possess good conducting properties²⁹. In the visible, losses associated with plasmons should seriously alter the quality factors mentioned here, but the concept could still lead to ultra small mode volumes cavities³⁰. This type of cavities, because of their very high Purcell factors, should find applications in areas that rely on wave/matter interactions and nonlinear effects for instance in quantumelectrodynamics, energy rooting and filtering, or wave detectors and sources. Moreover, associated to the waveguides, splitters and other discrete components that we demonstrated recently¹⁴, they should lead to many applications. We can now imagine molding at wish the flow of waves in metamaterials not only at a scale independent of the wavelength, but also in spatially random samples, as opposed to photonic crystal demonstrations, which simplify fabrication issues.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.K. and F.L. acknowledge funding from French "Direction Generale de l'Armement". This work is supported by LABEX WIFI (Laboratory of Excellence within the French Program "Investments for the Future") under reference ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL.

- ¹K. Ohtaka, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 5057 (1979).
- ²E. Yablonovitch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2059 (1987).
- ³S. John, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 2486 (1987).
- ⁴K. M. Ho, C. T. Chan, and C. M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3152 (1990).
- ⁵J. D. Joannopoulos, S. G. Johnson, J. N. Winn, and R. D. Meade, *Photonic Crystals: Molding the Flow of Light (Second Edition)* (Princeton University Press, 2008).
- ⁶R. D. Meade, K. D. Brommer, A. M. Rappe, and J. D. Joannopoulos, Applied Physics Letters 61, 495 (1992).
- ⁷E. Yablonovitch, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **10**, 283 (1993).
- ⁸E. Yablonovitch, T. J. Gmitter, and K. M. Leung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2295 (1991).
- ⁹J. B. Pendry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3966 (2000).
- ¹⁰V. G. Veselago, Physics-Uspekhi **10**, 509 (1968).
- ¹¹D. R. Smith, W. J. Padilla, D. C. Vier, S. C. Nemat-Nasser, and S. Schultz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4184 (2000).
- ¹²J. Valentine, S. Zhang, T. Zentgraf, E. Ulin-Avila, D. Genov, G. Bartal, and X. Zhang, Nature **455**, 376 (2008).
- ¹³J. Yang, C. Sauvan, H. T. Liu, and P. Lalanne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 043903 (2011).
- ¹⁴F. Lemoult, N. Kaina, M. Fink, and G. Lerosey, Nature Physics 9, 5560 (2013).
- ¹⁵V. Yannopapas, A. Modinos, and N. Stefanou, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 5359 (1999).
- ¹⁶. Jing, P. Sheng, and M. Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1240 (1991).
- ¹⁷I. E. Psarobas, A. Modinos, R. Sainidou, and N. Stefanou, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064307 (2002).
- ¹⁸V. Leroy, A. Strybulevych, M. G. Scanlon, and J. H. Page, Eur. Phys. J. E 29, 123 (2009).
- ¹⁹E. M. Purcell, Phys. Rev. **69**, 681 (1946).
- ²⁰G. Lerosey, J. de Rosny, A. Tourin, and M. Fink, Science **315**, 1120 (2007).
- ²¹F. Lemoult, G. Lerosey, J. de Rosny, and M. Fink, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 203901 (2010).
- ²²F. Lemoult, M. Fink, and G. Lerosey, Waves in Random and Complex Media 21, 591 (2011).
- ²³F. Lemoult, M. Fink, and G. Lerosey, Waves in Random and Complex Media 21, 614 (2011).
- ²⁴V. Yannopapas, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 23, 1414 (2006).
- ²⁵C. Helgert, C. Rockstuhl, C. Etrich, C. Menzel, E.-B. Kley, A. Tünnermann, F. Lederer, and T. Pertsch, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 233107 (2009).
- ²⁶A. Modinos, V. Yannopapas, and N. Stefanou, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 8099 (2000).
- ²⁷O. Painter, R. K. Lee, A. Scherer, A. Yariv, J. D. O'Brien, P. D. Dapkus, and I. Kim, Science **284**, 1819 (1999).
- ²⁸R. F. Oulton, V. J. Sorger, T. Zentgraf, R. M. Ma, C. Gladden, L. Dai, G. Bartal, and X. Zhang, Nature **461**, 629 (2009).
- ²⁹M. A. Ordal, L. L. Long, R. J. Bell, S. E. Bell, R. R. Bell, J. R. W. Alexander, and C. A. Ward, Appl. Opt. **22**, 1099 (1983).
- ³⁰J. Yao, X. Yang, X. Yin, G. Bartal, and X. Zhang, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **108**, 11327 (2011).